

1 Thomas C. Hurrell, State Bar No. 119876
E-Mail: thurrell@hurrellcantrall.com
2 Blair L. Schlecter, State Bar No. 233684
E-Mail: bschlecter@hurrellcantrall.com
3 HURRELL CANTRALL LLP
660 South Figueroa Street, 21st Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90017-3442
Telephone: (213) 426-2000
5 Facsimile: (213) 426-2020

6 Attorneys for Defendants PHILLIP BRIONES, JOSEPH
SALAS, THOMAS HILL, and SERGEANT QUICHOCHO

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

10 JOSEPH A. BARTHOLOMEW,
11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
14 PHILLIP BRIONES, JOSEPH SALAS,
15 THOMAS HILL, SGT. QUICHOCHO
and 20 unknown other named
16 defendants,
17 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV07-1530 CAS (SHx)

Assigned to:
Judge Christina A. Snyder
Courtroom "5"

[Case Filed 3/08/07]

**AMENDED JUDGMENT ON JURY
VERDICT**

Trial Date: June 3, 2008

18
19 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

20 The above-entitled action came on regularly for trial on June 3, 2008, at 9:30
21 a.m., in Courtroom "5" of the Western Division of the Central District of California
22 of the United States District Court, with The Honorable Christina A. Snyder,
23 presiding. Plaintiff JOSEPH BARTHOLOMEW was represented by and through
24 his attorneys of record, Carl E. Douglas, Esq. and Jamon Hicks, Esq. of the Law
25 Offices of Carl Douglas. Defendants PHILLIP BRIONES, JOSEPH SALAS,
26 THOMAS HILL, and SERGEANT QUICHOCHO were represented by and through
27 their attorneys of record, Thomas C. Hurrell, Esq. and Blair Schlecter, Esq., of
28 Hurrell Cantrall, LLP.

1 A jury of 8 persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn
 2 and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was
 3 instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to
 4 return a Special Verdict as to the claims against each defendant. The jury
 5 deliberated and thereafter returned to Court on June 11, 2008, with a 8-0 verdict in
 6 favor of defendants PHILLIP BRIONES, JOSEPH SALAS, THOMAS HILL, and
 7 SERGEANT QUICHOCHO.

8 Specifically, the jury found in favor of defendants on the special verdict with
 9 respect to the following question submitted:

11 **QUESTION NO. 1:**

12 Did Defendants Phillip BRIONES, Joseph SALAS, Or Thomas HILL, use
 13 excessive force against JOSEPH BARTHOLOMEW as that term was defined by the
 14 Court?

15 Phillip BRIONES	YES_____	NO__X__
16 Joseph SALAS	YES_____	NO__X__
17 Thomas HILL	YES_____	NO__X__

18
 19 The jury did not reach the claims against defendant SERGEANT FRANK
 20 QUICHOCHO, given their findings as to defendants PHILLIP BRIONES, JOSEPH
 21 SALAS and THOMAS HILL. The jury did not reach Questions Nos. 2 through 6
 22 on the special verdict form, given their findings on Question No. 1.

23 It appearing by reason of said verdict that defendants are entitled to judgment
 24 against plaintiff JOSEPH BARTHOLOMEW,

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///


28 ///

HURRELL CANTRALL LLP
660 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, 21ST FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3442
TELEPHONE (213) 426-2000

1 IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff JOSEPH
2 BARTHOLOMEW shall take nothing from defendants PHILLIP BRIONES,
3 JOSEPH SALAS, THOMAS HILL, and SERGEANT QUICHOCHO, and that costs
4 are awarded to defendants as determined by the cost bill to be submitted by
5 defendants.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 DATE: July 14, 2008

10
11 

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28